



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| 09/380,704 | 06/06/00 | BUSH | A 0609.4350081 |

HM12/1018
STERNE KESSLER GOLDSTEIN & FOX
1100 NEW YORK AVENUE NW
SUITE 600
WASHINGTON DC 20005-3934

| EXAMINER |
|-----------|
| BUNNER, B |

| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|
| 1647 | 16 |

DATE MAILED: 10/18/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/380,704

Applicant(s)

Bush et al.

Examiner

First Last

Group Art Unit

1234



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Gary Kunz

(3) _____

(2) Suzanne Ziska

(4) _____

Date of Interview Oct 17, 2001

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: all pending claims

Identification of prior art discussed:

None

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The attorney pointed out that the restriction mailed on May 21, 2001 fails to follow the PCT lack of unity practice. A composition should be kept with at least one method of using said composition. Additionally, some claims should be rejoined such as claims 9 - 11, 12 - 14, 15 - 17, 18 - 20, and 21 - 22. The SPE agreed that the examiner would withdraw the original restriction mailed 5-21-01 and send out a new lack of unity action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Gary L. Kunz
GARY L. KUNZ
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.